

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,456	10/09/2001	Arthur Talley JR.	0818.0104C	7903
	90 10/01/2003			
EDELL, SHAPIRO, FINNAN & LYTLE, LLC 1901 RESEARCH BOULEVARD SUITE 400			EXAMINER	
			TENTONI, LEO B	
ROCKVILLE, I	MD 20850			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
•			DATE MAIL ED: 10/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/821,456	TALLEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Leo B. Tentoni	1732		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 I	February 2003			
2a) 🗌		is action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the ments is		
· · _	on of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-29 and 32</u> is/are pending in the app				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-29 and 32</u> is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.			
9)🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ī.			
10)⊠ 7	he drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2001</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b) bojected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[] 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep				
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Pri rity u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prion application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	-		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece	eived.		
Attachment(,,	wiiw/VI 1≛1.		
r) 🛛 Notice i) 🖾 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6. (THREE (3) SHEET	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>13.14,15</u> . atent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trad OL-326 (Re	nemark Office	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 16		

Application/Control Number: 09/821,456

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1732, Examiner Leo Tentoni.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-20, drawn to a process of making microfilaments.

Group II, claim(s) 21-29 and 32, drawn to a fiber bundle.

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of Group I is thermally treating drawn multicomponent fibers. The special technical feature of Group II is a fiber bundle including a plurality of elastomeric microfilaments and a plurality of plastically deformed non-elastomeric microfilaments, and the claims (or claimed subject matter) of Group II is anticipated by, or obvious over, Kato et al (U.S. Patent 4,476,186) and thus does not provide a contribution over the prior art.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Patrick J. Finnan (by Examiner Camie S. Thompson, GAU 1774), applicant's representative, on 05 June 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/821,456

Art Unit: 1732

replying to this Office action. Claims 21-29 and 32 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

6. Figures 5A and 5B should be designated by a legend such as -Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See
MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected
drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid
abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings
will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, first paragraph, all of the priority application data should be included, including the international (PCT) application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1732

8. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: PROCESS OF MAKING MICROFILAMENTS.

Double Patenting

9. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 10. Claims 1-14, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-16 of prior U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0166370A1. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 09/821,456

Art Unit: 1732

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 5

12. Claim 18 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 26 and 29 of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0166370A1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because twisting drawn multicomponent fibers into a yarn as recited in instant claim 18 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of claims 26 and 29 of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0166370A1 principally because the yarn product recited in claims 26 and 29 may be made by twisting drawn multicomponent fibers.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (703) 308-3834. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 09/821,456 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt